ORIGINAL かん

U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTNORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUL 2 0 2012 FORT WORTH DIVISION WAYNE H. NORMAN, CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Civil Action No:

412

Plaintiff.

V.

ENHANCED RECOVERY,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, WAYNE H. NORMAN, by and through himself and for his Complaint against the Defendant, ENHANCED RECOVERY, and Plaintiff states as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (hereinafter, "TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. section 227 et seq., and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter, "FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. section 1692 et seq, which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

2. Jurisdiction arises under the FDCPA, and TCPA, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1331 and 28 U.S.C. section 1337.

CV - 501 - A

3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 4. WAYNE H. NORMAN, (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), is an individual who was at all relevant times residing in the county of Tarrant, Texas.
- 5. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. section 1692a(3).
- 6. ENHANCED RECOVERY, (hereinafter, "ER") is a business entity engaged in the collection of debt within the State of Texas. Defendant is located at 8014 Bayberry Road, Jacksonville, FL 32256, whose primary business is debt collection from consumers.
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant acted as a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. section 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 8. Plaintiff has received numerous phone calls from Defendant to his cellular telephone seeking to collect an alleged debt.
- 9. Plaintiff has documented at least 7 phone calls from the Defendant throughout the months of April and May of 2012.
- 10. Plaintiff is not in privy with Defendant, and does not owe Defendant any money.

- 11. Plaintiff has never provided his phone number to Defendant or given his express consent to be called, whether on his own or on behalf of any third party.
- 12. The calls Plaintiff received were made using equipment that had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator, and to dial such numbers.
- 13. Defendant's calls utilized interactive voice recognition technology, also known as a predictive dialer, in which a machine places calls, and when a consumer answers the phone there is a noticeable pause prior to being connected to a live representative of Defendant.
- 14. This technology, upon information and belief, dials several numbers simultaneously and connects the call only to those who answer first.
- 15. The most egregious types of these calls are placed to those consumers who in fact have no debt whatsoever owed to Defendant.
- 16. Plaintiff answered the initial phone call on or about April 17th, 2012. The representative from ("ER") inquired about an outstanding debt that neither belongs to, nor is owed by Plaintiff. Plaintiff informed the representative that he had the wrong person, and number and please stop calling. The representative asked Plaintiff how long he had had the number in question. Plaintiff responded by telling the representative that is was none of his

business how long he'd had the number, but it isn't the number of "John Ward."

- 17. Defendant hung up the phone in aggravation.
- 18. Defendant continued to call Plaintiffs cellular phone on April 24th 2012, April 30th, 2012, May 7th, 2012, and May 14th, 2012. Each time the Defendant left an automated prerecorded message stating, "This message is for John Ward, if you are not this person please delete this message and it is not for you, this is Bob with Enhanced Recovery Company, we are a collection agency attempting to collect a debt and any information will be used for that purpose, please contact me about this business matter at 800-496-8916, or visit www.kerc.com and provide the following reference number: 61743741."
- 19. Plaintiff never consented to, requested, or otherwise desired or permitted, calls from Defendant for the purpose of debt collection or any other purpose.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. SECTION 227, ET SEQ.

20. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 21. Without prior consent, the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or, prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. section 227(b)(A)(iii) on April 24th, 2012.
- 22. The phone call was made to Plaintiff without the number being provided to Defendant or any other entity in connection with any debt, and without the consent of Plaintiff.
- 23. Defendant utilized a predicative dialer to place numerous phone calls to Plaintiff and without human intervention. Defendant's equipment qualifies as a predictive dialer because it is equipment, combining software and hardware aspects, that has the capacity to store or produce numbers and dial those numbers at random, in sequential order, or from a database of numbers.
- 24. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 227(b)(3)(B), are each entitled to, *inter alia*, a minimum of \$500.00 in damages for each violation of such Act.
- 25. Should the Court determine that Defendant's misconduct was willful and knowing, the Court may, pursuant to section 227(b)(3)(C), treble the amount of statutory damages recoverable by Plaintiff.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1692d(5)

- 26. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 27. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. section 1692d(5) as this provision specifically forbids a collector from "causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number."
- 28. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 1692k, are entitled to \$1,000 per statutory violation.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. SECTION 227, ET SEQ.

- 29. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 30. Without prior consent, the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or, prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. section 227(b)(A)(iii) on April 30th, 2012.

- 31. The phone call was made to Plaintiff without the number being provided to Defendant or any other entity in connection with any debt, and without the consent of Plaintiff.
- 32. Defendant utilized a predicative dialer to place numerous phone calls to Plaintiff and without human intervention. Defendant's equipment qualifies as a predictive dialer because it is equipment, combining software and hardware aspects, that has the capacity to store or produce numbers and dial those numbers at random, in sequential order, or from a database of numbers.
- 33. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 227(b)(3)(B), are each entitled to, *inter alia*, a minimum of \$500.00 in damages for each violation of such Act.
- 34. Should the Court determine that Defendant's misconduct was willful and knowing, the Court may, pursuant to section 227(b)(3)(C), treble the amount of statutory damages recoverable by Plaintiff.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1692d(5)

35. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 36. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. section 1692d(5) as this provision specifically forbids a collector from "causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number."
- 37. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 1692k, are entitled to \$1,000 per statutory violation.

COUNT III

VIOLATION OF THE ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. SECTION 227, ET SEQ.

- 38. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 39. Without prior consent, the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or, prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. section 227(b)(A)(iii) on May 7th, 2012. The phone call was made to Plaintiff without the number being provided to Defendant or any other entity in connection with any debt, and without the consent of Plaintiff.
- 40. Defendant utilized a predicative dialer to place numerous phone calls to Plaintiff and without human intervention. Defendant's equipment qualifies

as a predictive dialer because it is equipment, combining software and hardware aspects, that has the capacity to store or produce numbers and dial those numbers at random, in sequential order, or from a database of numbers.

- 41. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 227(b)(3)(B), are each entitled to, *inter alia*, a minimum of \$500.00 in damages for each violation of such Act.
- 42. Should the Court determine that Defendant's misconduct was willful and knowing, the Court may, pursuant to section 227(b)(3)(C), treble the amount of statutory damages recoverable by Plaintiff.

COUNT III

VIOLATION OF THE ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1692d(5)

43. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. section 1692d(5) as this provision specifically forbids a collector from "causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number."

44. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 1692k, are entitled to \$1,000 per statutory violation.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF THE ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. SECTION 227, ET SEQ.

- 45. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 46. Without prior consent, the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or, prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. section 227(b)(A)(iii) on May 14th, 2012.
- 47. The phone call was made to Plaintiff without the number being provided to Defendant or any other entity in connection with any debt, and without the consent of Plaintiff. Defendant utilized a predicative dialer to place numerous phone calls to Plaintiff and without human intervention.

 Defendant's equipment qualifies as a predictive dialer because it is equipment, combining software and hardware aspects, that has the capacity to store or produce numbers and dial those numbers at random, in sequential order, or from a database of numbers.
- 48. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under

- section 227(b)(3)(B), are each entitled to, *inter alia*, a minimum of \$500.00 in damages for each violation of such Act.
- 49. Should the Court determine that Defendant's misconduct was willful and knowing, the Court may, pursuant to section 227(b)(3)(C), treble the amount of statutory damages recoverable by Plaintiff.

COUNT IV

VIOLATION OF THE ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. SECTION 1692d(5)

- 50. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 51. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA 15 U.S.C. section 1692d(5) as this provision specifically forbids a collector from "causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number."
- 52. As a result of Defendant's illegal conduct, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in the form of continued unsolicited calls on his cellular phone, under section 1692k, are entitled to \$1,000 per statutory numbers.

PRAYER OF RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Wayne H. Norman, prays the following relief:

- a) Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. section 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);
- b) Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. section 1689k;
- c) Actual damages from the Defendant for all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent TCPA, and FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- d) Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne H. Norman

1001 Brook Hollow

Euless, Texas 76039

whnorman@hotmail.com

(682) 241-8688

IS 44 (Rev. 09/11) Case 4:12-cv-00501-A Document 1 Page 13 of 13 Page 1D 13

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
WAYNE H. NORMAN				ENHANCED RECOVERY, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff TARRANT (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant DUVALL (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff) and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	ot 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State X 1		
1 2 U.S. Government Defendant	- · /		Citizen	Citizen of Another State		
				or Subject of a Gign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	l Fo	REFTURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCV	DESCRIPTION OF THE STREET,
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplanc 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury -		Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other	☐ 422 Appcal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability			PROPERTY RIGHTS 3 820 Copyrights 3 830 Patent 3 840 Trademark	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 370 Other Fraud		LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	Corrupt Organizations # 480 Consumer Credit # 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	740 751	Labor/Mgmt. Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Stamtory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS D 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER - NOTION	29	Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SURTS 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	O 441 Voting O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General			or Defendant) 3 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	17 463	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Habcas Corpus - Alien Detainee	·	
	Other 1 448 Education	560 Civil Detainer - Conditions of Confinement	□ 465	(Prisoner Petition) Other Immigration Actions	,	
		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reope	tated or U 3 anothe	Perred from	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	1 47 USC 227 FT :	SEQ, 15 USC 1692	re filing <i>a</i> 2 ET SEC	o not cile jurisdictional sta]	tutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	D PHONE CALLS T IS A CLASS ACTION		ULAR PHONE MAND \$	•	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI	(Con instructional)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JURY DEMAND:	: X Yes
PENDING OR CLOSED: (See INSURALIMAN). JUDGE DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (F RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				<u> </u>		
RECEIPT # AI	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		IUDGE	МАС. ЛЛ	DGE